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## At least an hours worth of it
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Polarization important as another parameter of the light field you can measure for additional information....or it may be a problem in your measurement. Simplest light field....plane wave

Can describe plane wave propagating in z direction as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{l}(z, t)=a_{l} \cos \left(\omega t-k z+\delta_{l}\right) \\
& E_{r}(z, t)=a_{r} \cos \left(\omega t-k z+\delta_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Let: $\quad \delta=\delta_{r}-\delta_{l}$
Some simple cases can be seen:
$\delta=0$, (or $a_{1}$ or $a_{r}=0$ ) light is linearly polarized
$\delta=\pi / 2, a_{1}=a_{r}$ light is circularly polarized
Everything else is called elliptically polarized

Now in general, $\omega$, for visible light is on the order of 6 x $10^{14} \mathrm{~Hz}$, in general, we can't really measure these oscillations directly. Also the natural light field is not a single plane wave, but a superposition of many waves. So we need a more convienent description....the Stokes vector.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\left\langle a_{l}^{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle a_{r}^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle E_{l} E_{l}^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle E_{r} E_{r}^{*}\right\rangle=I_{l}+I_{r} \\
& Q=\left\langle a_{l}^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle a_{r}^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle E_{l} E_{l}^{*}\right\rangle-\left\langle E_{r} E_{r}^{*}\right\rangle=I_{l}-I_{r} \\
& U=\left\langle 2 a_{l} a_{r} \cos \delta\right\rangle=\left\langle E_{l} E_{r}^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle E_{r} E_{l}^{*}\right\rangle=I_{45}-I_{-45} \\
& V=\left\langle 2 a_{l} a_{r} \sin \delta\right\rangle=i\left(\left\langle E_{l} E_{r}^{*}\right\rangle-\left\langle E_{r} E_{l}^{*}\right\rangle\right)=I_{R C P}-I_{L C P}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Some Simple Stokes Vectors:

$\left[\begin{array}{l}I \\ Q \\ U \\ V\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}I \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$
Unpolarized light

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
Q \\
U \\
V
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
0 \\
0 \\
I
\end{array}\right]
$$

Right Circularly polarized light

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
I \\
Q \\
U \\
V
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
I \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Polarized along /

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=I_{l}+I_{r} \\
& Q=I_{l}-I_{r} \\
& U=I_{45}-I_{-45}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
V=I_{R C P}-I_{L C P}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
I \\
Q \\
U \\
V
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
I \\
-I \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Polarized along $r$


## Can't figure out where to put this slide...so here it is....

Degree of polarization

$$
D o P=\frac{\left(Q^{2}+U^{2}+V^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{I}, \quad 0 \leq D o P \leq 1
$$

Plane of polarization

$$
\tan 2 \chi=\frac{U}{Q}, \quad-90^{\circ} \leq \chi \leq 90^{\circ}
$$

For completeness, ellipticity $\quad \sin 2 \beta=\frac{2 a_{l} a_{r} \sin \delta}{a_{l}^{2}+a_{r}^{2}}=\frac{V}{\left(Q^{2}+U^{2}+V^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}$
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Can transform these Stokes vectors in a linear process, using Mueller Matrices:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
I^{‘} \\
Q^{‘} \\
U^{‘} \\
V^{‘}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
M 11 & M 12 & M 13 & M 14 \\
M 21 & M 22 & M 23 & M 24 \\
M 31 & M 32 & M 33 & M 34 \\
M 41 & M 42 & M 43 & M 44
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
I \\
Q \\
U \\
V
\end{array}\right]
$$

Modify incoming Stokes Vector to outgoing Stokes Vector.

Examples, linear polarizer, $\theta$, is angle between reference plane and polarizer axis of acceptance.

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \cos 2 \theta & \sin 2 \theta & 0 \\
\cos 2 \theta & \cos ^{2} 2 \theta & \sin 2 \theta \cos 2 \theta & 0 \\
\sin 2 \theta & \sin 2 \theta \cos 2 \theta & \sin ^{2} 2 \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
\frac{I+Q}{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{c}
I+Q \\
I+Q \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
I \\
Q \\
U \\
V
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Two crossed polarizers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
I^{\prime} \\
Q^{\prime} \\
U^{\prime} \\
V^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] 1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]} \\
& 0=1 / 4\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=1 / 4\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
I \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Two crossed polarizers, with another one in between

$1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right] 1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right] 1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$

$$
1 / 8\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
I \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Two crossed polarizers, with

 another one in between continued$$
=1 / 8\left[\begin{array}{c}
I \\
-I \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=1 / 8\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
0 \\
I \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## How about a circular polarizer?

- Need a quarter wave plate after a polarizer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
I^{\prime} \\
Q^{\prime} \\
U^{\prime} \\
V^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] 1 / 2\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
I^{\prime} \\
Q^{\prime} \\
U^{\prime} \\
V^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=1 / 4\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
0 \\
0 \\
I
\end{array}\right]=1 / 4\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
0 \\
I \\
0
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

To determine the Stokes vectors, need at least 4 carefully determined measurements. To determine Mueller matrix, need 16 measurements:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
I^{‘} \\
Q^{‘} \\
U^{‘} \\
V^{‘}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
M 11 & M 12 & M 13 & M 14 \\
M 21 & M 22 & M 23 & M 24 \\
M 31 & M 32 & M 33 & M 34 \\
M 41 & M 42 & M 43 & M 44
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
I \\
Q \\
U \\
V
\end{array}\right]
$$

Typically, normalize by M11 (which is the normal volume scattering function). This emphasizes the polarization properties of the matrix.

Instruments to measure the Stokes Vector are variations on radiance instruments.

Two types, either single direction at a time (such as 4 cobore sighted Gershun tubes or 3, since the circular polarization is very small or zero for the most part) or some sort of imaging device, such as fisheye camera with polarizers.

## Example Sky instrument



Fig. 1. Block diagram of the RADS-IIP instrument.

- Sky scene relatively stable, hence time sequence can be used
- Example K. J. Voss and Y. Liu, "Polarized radiance distribution measurements of skylight: I. system description and characterization", 1997, Applied Optics, 36 :6083-6094.
- Must have stabilization to use on ships/moving platforms: Adapt system used by filming crews



## In-water...need simultaneous measurements...either with Gershun tube radiometers fitted with polarizers



Estimating particle composition and size distribution from polarized water-leaving radiance, Alberto Tonizzo,1,* Alex Gilerson, 1 Tristan Harmel, 1 Amir Ibrahim,Jacek Chowdhary, Barry Gross,Fred Moshary, and Sam Ahmed1, Applied Optics, Vol 50, 5047-5058

## Or simultaneous fisheye systems, with polarizers:


\{Polrads

DPOL instrument:

- System has 4 lenses, polarizer's in each
- Fiber Optic bundle collects light from each image into one super image
- Super image focused onto camera through spectral filter changer
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## New system, PixPol



On-Chip Lens
Polarsens image sensor (images from Sony web page)


To measure the Mueller matrix need 16 measurements (set of 4 different input Stokes vectors permutated with 4 polarization analyzers). Either do this serially or another method was:


## Mueller matrix for Rayleigh scattering.



Low index particles also scatter this way (Rayleigh-Gans approx.)

Mueller matrix for Rayleigh scattering. Lets look at some specific angles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(0^{\circ}\right) & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
M\left(180^{\circ}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right] & M\left(90^{\circ}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Case for Rayleigh single scattering in Sky, Degree of polarization.




Zenith

## Case for Rayleigh single scattering in Sky.



Radiance


Q/I

The radiance units are $m W \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~nm}^{-1} \mathrm{sr}^{-1}, Q / I$ and $U / I$ are dimensionless
Hawaii (December 2, 2005, 20.83 ${ }^{0}$ N, 157.18 ${ }^{0}$ W, 10:25 local time).
Wavelength is 436 nm
solar zenith angle is $51^{0}$.
Measured with POLRads instrument (recent article in OE)

Mueller matrix for Ocean water (Voss and Fry, 1984).

What are the differences?


OF MIAMI


Note high degree of polarization, 90 degrees to refracted solar position, probably lost in glitter pattern, however still significant polarization in other areas.

$$
\theta_{0}=71
$$



$$
\theta_{\circ}^{\prime}=45
$$

## Why do you care?

Other recent applications of polarization:
Use of polarized light for enhanced imaging: scattered light is more polarized than light from target (many people, early work by Gilbert, AO, 1967)..keeps getting rediscovered.

Polarized detection by animals.. and polarized light camouflage (Molly Cummings, UT, Roger Hanlon, MBL).

Depolarization due to minerals?

## 2 possible remote sensing applications, change in M12/M11




Fig. 9. Same as Fig. 6 for (a) Westerschelde silt with diameters ranging between 3 and $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and (b) Westerschelde silt with diameters ranging between 5 and $12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Volten et al., L\&O, 1998, Vol 43, 1180-1197

## Other ideas about polarization

- Ibrahim et al. 2012, Optics Express Retrieve c/a with remote sensing




Fig. 8. Fitted relationship between DoLP at $\theta_{\text {view }}=40^{\circ}$ and $\varphi_{\text {view }}=90^{\circ}$ and $c / a$ ratio at three wavelengths for three different NAP slopes of the particle size distribution (PSD).

Your instrument may have a polarization sensitivity (old Rsr instruments, spectrometers without scrambling)

The satellite instrument is polarized, to greater or lesser extent.

Might be more information in the polarization signal, new sensors will be developed (one sank...APS on Glory, two will be with PACE) especially important for atmospheric aerosols.

## Discussion topic

From Polarimetry in the PACE mission, Science Team consensus Document, July 23, 2015.


Figure 7. Illustration of the degree of linear polarization (DoLP) measured above the surface of different water types in South Florida: Clear water (blue curve), biologically productive water (green curve), and productive water with high amounts of CDOM (brown curve). Measurements were made above the water surface with a hyperspectral polarimeter covering a wavelength range of $350-1100 \mathrm{~nm}$ (nominal, $380-900 \mathrm{~nm}$ useful), at a spectral resolution (FWHM) of 3 nm , and with a fine spectral sampling interval of 0.8 nm . The view angle is 50 degrees (near Brewster's angle) and oriented 90 degrees to the sun. The water varied from clear near the Keys to turbid in Florida Bay near the shoreline. These data are "raw" polarization data, in that no corrections for surface reflections have been applied. Also note that view angle is critical in these data. Measurements at 135 degrees to the sun often show very low DoLP. These are preliminary data. The main spectral polarimetric features are discernible. The high frequency fluctuations indicate that absolute spectral calibrations could be improved

View Angle, 50 degrees nadir Azimuth 90 degrees No mention of solar zenith angle

Blue curve clear water Green curve, productive water Brown curve High CDOM

## Discussion topic

From Polarimetry in the PACE mission, Science Team consensus Document, July 23, 2015.


View Angle, 50 degrees nadir Azimuth 90 degrees
No mention of solar zenith angle
Blue curve clear water Green curve, productive water Brown curve High CDOM


What does it mean to be at Brewsters angle in terms of DOP?

Is it surprising that high CDOM water has high DOP in blue?

What about rest of spectrum?

## Extra slides

## Sky light radiance distribution.



Intensity images, left is intensity from Stokes Calculation, right is intensity from unpolarized image


Normalized Stokes vectors Q (on left) and U (on right)



Normalized Stokes vectors Q (on left) and U (on right).


## Downwelling radiance distribution.



## Downwelling radiance distribution.

## Downwelling radiance distribution.



## Downwelling spectral variation, coastal
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## Downwelling spectral variation, clear water
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## Downwelling spectral variation, clear water
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