Sediment sources
Riverine input usually settles first near mixing zone
Why? Hydrological slowdown and/or aggregation in salty water

Likewise, flood delta can result from marine source
Table 5. Sediment Budget ($Mg \times 10^3$) for the South River, Maryland, 1846–1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Cliff erosion</th>
<th>Rhode Rvr average</th>
<th>South Rvr data</th>
<th>Marsh</th>
<th>Beach</th>
<th>Subtidal storage</th>
<th>Sediment exports (Inputs + storage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1846–1903</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903–1933</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1933–1970</td>
<td>244*</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>139*</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Minimum based on Rhode River fluvial input data; maximum based on South River fluvial input data.
† Based on data collected from the Rhode River, Maryland by the Smithsonian Environmental Research Center (Pierce and Dulong 1977; Correll, Jordan, and Pierce, unpublished data). Calculated as 12.5 Mg/km²/yr 73 km²-years in period.
‡ Based on South River postflood sediment discharge data. Represents high estimate of sediment yield calculated as 38.7 Mg/km²/yr 73 km²-years in period.
§ Decreases in recent cliff erosion rates reflect shoreline protection measures along 36 percent of the shoreline.
¶ Based on average sediment accumulation rate between 1846 and 1933 of 0.17 g/cm²/yr as determined from changes in subtidal bathymetry.

Cliff erosion can dominate sediment supply

Figure 3. Erosion of a typical cliff face along the upper South River estuary. Groundwater seeping as well as wave attack (largely from boat wakes) appear to be responsible for the ongoing rapid erosion.
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First depocenter is not necessarily the last

Resuspension: Need to get physical energy to the bottom

Slow resuspension/resetting by tide/wave reworking
Flushing by large, rare events (Mississippi, Kennebec)
Sedimentary features as function of tidal range

Figure 1. Distribution of coastal landform in along microtidal, mesotidal and macrotidal coasts, as defined by tidal range. From Hayes, 1975.
Tidal current Resuspension

Ocean Dynamics: Theoretical, Computational and Observational Oceanography (2009)
Suspended sediment transport in the German Wadden Sea—seasonal variations and extreme events
Alexander Bartholomä, Adam Kubicki, Thomas H. Badewien and Burghard W. Flemming
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Tidal asymmetry matters

Scheme of asymmetry effect of tides in an estuary with high tidal wave on upstream transport of suspended matter (from Allen et al., 1980)
Fig. 6  

**a** SSC values at different water depths and **b** depth-integrated SSC values during calm weather conditions between 22nd February and 8th March 2007. Note the difference of concentration during neap- and spring-tide periods observed as the increase of SSC values in the centre and upper section of the water column.
Another energy source - windwaves $f(\text{depth}, \text{stratification})$

Changes in stratification between flood and ebb
--> changes in wind-induced resuspension

Figure 7
Schematic of the tidal-straining mechanism (upper panels) and evidence from Liverpool Bay of the associated tidal periodicity of stratification (lower panel) (based on Simpson et al. 1990, reprinted with permission). The top panel illustrates well-mixed conditions at the end of the flooding tide and the vertical profile of ebbing velocity. The solid lines in the second panel show the distortion of the salinity contours by the cbb, and the dashed lines indicate the influence of mixing. The time series in the bottom panel indicates alternation between well-mixed and stratified conditions, with maxima at the end of cbb (low tide).
Fig. 1: Peak Flood vs. Peak Ebb, Upper York Estuary 4/6/99

Greater stratification and lower pycnocline on ebb reduce near-bed turbulence, resulting in less suspension and less near-bed sediment flux on ebb

Sediment Transport Associated with Tidal Asymmetry in Stratification, Mixing and Resuspension in the York River Estuary

Carl T. Friedrichs, Malcolm E. Scully and Grace M. Battisto
Sediment is complex, with many grain sizes of minerals plus other materials.
But in fact most resuspended sediment under mild conditions is aggregates, not single grains.
Resistance to erosion responds to combination of salt and goo (as in “flocculation”)
After resuspension comes Export
or Redeposition within estuary
How long does it take water to clear?

Muddy rivers coming into ocean - hours to days

Estuary after a windstorm - hours
Physics of sediment deposition
Settling rate described (semi-quantitatively) by

**Stokes Law**

\[
v_s = \frac{g (\rho_p - \rho)}{18 \mu} \frac{D_p^2}{\text{particle diameter}}
\]

Tough to know density or even size
Biologically enhanced deposition applies to sediment as well as food.
Figure 7
Conceptual model of the major factors affecting marsh elevation (after Argow 2006).
Lowes Cove?

Figure 8
Platform (a) versus ramped (b) morphologies in tidal wetlands (after Argow 2006). Marsh zones are shown relative to mean tide level (MTL), mean high water (MHW), mean spring high water (HHW), and extreme high water (eHW).
Synergies between animal and plant biodeposition

http://southeastaquatics.net/projects/oyster-reef-for-shoreline-stabilization
ETM Plot would show sharp, positive, nonconservative mixing.

One explanation

Wednesday, May 25, 2011
Heterotrophic organisms respond to this water column accumulation

For the connections among hydrology, ETM, and fish in Chesapeake, see video at http://www.schooltube.com/video/cf120a5e885e6dfe69f9/From-Physics-to-Fish
Fig. 9. Examples of sediment concentration, salinity, cross-shelf velocity and temperature for different types of fluid mud: (a) mixed fluid mud; (b) stratified fluid mud; and (c) high-concentration fluid mud. Each plot shows profiles from maximum ebb (positive) to maximum flood (negative) currents. Plotted profiles are every other hour in order of solid, dashed, dotted, dash-dot (reprinted from Kineke et al. 1996, with permission from Elsevier).
Sediments as processing zones
Anoxic metabolism very important in estuarine sediments

Figure 6. (A) Classic general redox reaction zonation with depth and time in steadily accreting sedimentary deposits. Relative zonal depth scaling depends on absolute and relative fluxes of oxidants and reductants. Terminology applied to individual zones is indicated. (Adapted from Aller, 1982; Berner, 1980.) (B) Unsteady redox succession and diageneric ingrowth sequence in the surface mobile zone following a reoxidation–exchange event. Duration of individual redox stages is largely a function of oxidant and reactive reductant abundance (entrainment) following disturbance. Suboxic conditions often dominate the mobile zone for extended periods.
Animal-bacteria interactions

Worms’ World: Biogeochemical Heterogeneity and Dynamics of the Seafloor as Revealed by 2-D Optical Sensors

A false-color image reveals a vertical section of the pH distribution associated with the burrow of the "clam worm" Heresi diversicolor in Fick Pond, a salt marsh on the north shore of Long Island in New York. Low-pH regions below the sediment/water interface and around the burrow represent sites of remineralization and oxidation. Courtesy of Robert C. Aller.
Different sedimentary zones process organic matter differently

Figure 3. Different diagenetic regimes dominate individual sedimentary facies and thus can be present in regular spatial associations within margin environments. Material exchange on a variety of timescales takes place between these facies. One possible idealized spatial pattern of diagenetic regimes found in tropical clinoform deltaic systems is illustrated schematically in the inshore—offshore cross-section. Large expanses of energetic topset regions in such systems are characterized by mobile suboxic mud (red) underlying oxygenated water. These batch reactor regions are often in close spatial association with locally scoured seafloor (see also Fig. 4). Sediment storage sites of high net deposition and bioturbation are found seaward within the foreset zone (brown). Additional common regimes are the rhizosphere-dominated shoreline, permeable channel and bar sands, and relict sands distal to bottomset deposits. Turbid waters (brownish water zone) can inhibit primary production inshore and highest autochthonous production rates may be found over the outer topset and foreset storage regions (greenish water zone). Large scale ‘estuarine flow’ and tidal oscillation promotes cross shelf material exchange between diagenetic facies as demonstrated by numerous physical, chemical and biological tracers in case studies. (Modified from McKee et al., 2004.)
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Sediments as recorders for estuarine processing

What would bioturbation do to these signals?