

Lidar for ocean studies

Kelsey Bisson Assistant Professor Sr Research Oregon State University

Ocean Optics Class 2023 Ocean Optics 2015 Alumni



Plan for today

- Lidar basics
- What does our world look like under lidar?
- What discoveries have we made?
- Data availability and processing
- Sources of uncertainty
- Ongoing work
- Summary and advice for future studies



Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR)

- Active measurement: Emits photons and measures distance (height) using time of travel & speed of light
- Vertical resolution is f(photon frequency)
- b_{bp} in water can be calculated whereafter phytoplankton carbon can be derived (using Cphyto empirical relationships)

No dedicated ocean lidar satellite in orbit (yet!!)



Hostetler et al., 2017





CALIPSO (2006) and ICESat-2 (2018)

Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation Ice, Cloud and land Elevation Satellite

Used for decades to study the oceans (pioneering work by Churnside and others)

iHeartCraftyThings.com



Can measure laser induced fluorescence, wavelength shifted Raman scattering, polarization properties





Data

Uncertainty

Ongoing work

Summary

Used for decades to study the oceans (pioneering work by Churnside and others)

iHeartCraftyThings.com



Can measure laser induced fluorescence, wavelength shifted Raman scattering, polarization properties (look for work by Brian Collister and others)



What does the world look like from a lidar perspective?

1 day global coverage comparisons with MODIS-Aqua (passive satellite)



Lidar b_{bp} is in **black**, MODIS b_{bp} is **colored**.



SPATIAL COVERAGE

• MODIS observes swaths of area while lidar provides lines of data.







CALIOP (CALIPSO) spatial coverage



For reference, MODIS-Aqua would be 0 everywhere as there are no nighttime MODIS-Aqua data



GENERAL DIFFERENCES WITH OCEAN COLOR

- Ocean color measures combined effects of absorption & scattering
- No information during night
- Retrievals are affected by clouds, aerosols, and low solar zenith angle



March 1, 2023 MODIS Aqua - OBPG

r_{rs} Inversion refresher

 r_{rs} is \propto the ratio of scattering to scattering + absorption

$$\mathbf{r}_{rs}(\lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^{2} G_{i} * \left[\frac{b_{b}(\lambda)}{a(\lambda) + b_{b}(\lambda)} \right]^{i}$$

And absorption (a) is the sum of all absorbing constituents (spectrally) and backscattering (b_b) is the sum of backscattering from seawater and from particles.

$$a(\lambda) = a_{W}(\lambda) + M_{cdm}e^{-S_{cdm}\lambda} + M_{ph}a*_{ph}(\lambda)$$

$$b_{b}(\lambda) = b_{bW}(\lambda) + M_{bp}\lambda^{-\gamma},$$



The ocean system is complex & highly variable.

Earth Obs. Sat.





The ocean system is complex & highly variable.



But this is what a pixel of ocean 'looks like' with an ocean color satellite data. * Conceptual rendering of a 1 degree pixel as seen from space



The ocean system is complex & highly variable.



This is what an equivalent 'pixel' of ocean 'looks like' with a lidar



Polar ecosystems



Passive satellite, winter

Lidar satellite, winter





GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 40, 4355–4360, doi:10.1002/grl.50816, 2013

Space-based lidar measurements of global ocean carbon stocks

Michael J. Behrenfeld,¹ Yongxiang Hu,² Chris A. Hostetler,² Giorgio Dall'Olmo,³ Sharon D. Rodier,² John W. Hair,² and Charles R. Trepte² Received 31 July 2013; accepted 2 August 2013; published 23 August 2013.

Global aggregated b_{bp} data present independent measurement

b_{bp} data are converted to phytoplankton carbon





Article

Global satellite-observed daily vertical migrations of ocean animals

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1796-9
Received: 27 September 2018
Accepted: 10 October 2019
Published online: 27 November 2019

Michael J. Behrenfeld¹*, Peter Gaube², Alice Della Penna^{2,3}, Robert T. O'Malley¹, William J. Burt^{4,5}, Yongxiang Hu⁶, Paula S. Bontempi⁷, Deborah K. Steinberg⁸, Emmanuel S. Boss⁹, David A. Siegel^{10,11}, Chris A. Hostetler⁶, Philippe D. Tortell^{4,12} & Scott C. Doney¹³



b_{bp} covaries with all particles, including zooplankton!

Differences in b_{bp} from day to night are attributed to daily migrating zooplankton



nature

geoscience

ARTICLES PUBLISHED ONLINE: 19 DECEMBER 2016 | DOI: 10.1038/NGE02861

Sharon Rodier² and Amy Jo Scarino²

Annual boom-bust cycles of polar phytoplankton

Michael J. Behrenfeld^{1*}, Yongxiang Hu², Robert T. O'Malley¹, Emmanuel S. Boss³, Chris A. Hostetler²,

David A. Siegel⁴, Jorge L. Sarmiento⁵, Jennifer Schulien¹, Johnathan W. Hair², Xiaomei Lu²,

biomass revealed by space-based lidar

• Biomass rate of change (d⁻¹)



Derivatives of b_{bp}-derived phytoplankton carbon are used to track polar phytoplankton cycles





For the first time, cm-scale resolution of the particle field was achieved from ICESat-2 shortly after its launch.

15m is depth limit in open ocean from ICESat-2 due to data downlink restrictions, but the depth could be expanded for ocean optimized lidar



Applied Optics Vol. 60, Issue 23, pp. 6978-6988 (2021) • https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.426137

Seasonal bias in global ocean color observations

K. M. Bisson, E. Boss, P. J. Werdell, A. Ibrahim, R. Frouin, and M. J. Behrenfeld

Author Information 👻 🔍 Q Find other works by these authors 👻

CALIPSO



When CALIOP data were compared with MODIS-Aqua data on global scales, weird patterns (not obviously biological) were observed. When looking closer we found systematic biases in MODIS-Aqua data that we did not expect



Bisson et al 2021a

What have we learned so far from CALIPSO and ICESat-2?



Systematic biases in MODIS-Aqua (and other ocean color satellites) data exist in all IOPs and AOPs, even at the ocean color validation site (MOBY)....

Mismatch in seasonal cycles between in situ observations and satellites at longer wavelengths



Lidar for ocean research: We are living off atmospheric & polar satellites!

CALIPSO and ICESat-2 data development has been 'DIY' and very creative, as these satellites were not built for ocean research as a goal.



ICESat-2 (ATLAS instrument)

Photon counting altimeter No polarization information 10 kHz

10 cm vertical resolution in water11 m footprint



CALIPSO (CALIOP instrument)

Photon counting altimeterCross and co parallel polarization channels20.25 Hz21 m vertical resolution in water100 m footprint



image sources: NASA gallery



ICESat-2 (ATLAS instrument)

Photon counting altimeter No polarization information 10 kHz

10 cm vertical resolution in water11 m footprint



super solid, gets the job done, one of the best



Photon counting altimeter
Cross and co parallel polarization channels
20.25 Hz
21 m vertical resolution in water
100 m footprint



more pizazz through polarization channels gives a 'je ne sais quoi' to the mission



CALIOP data processing and access

 $b_{bp}(532) \approx 7.2 R_{part}$

CALIOP b_{bp}



$$R_{part} = eta_{cross-pol} rac{R_{theory}}{eta_{co-pol}} \ c_1$$

 $\beta_{cross-pol}$ = integrated attenuated backscatter measured with the cross-polarized channels (sr¹)

 β_{co-pol} = integrated attenuated backscatter measured with the co-polarized channels (sr¹)

 c_1 = unitless correction for crosstalk between polarization channels (1 – depolarization discrepancy between measured and theoretical molecular depolarization in stratosphere)

 R_{theory} = theoretical ocean surface reflectance (sr¹) derived from wind speed, viewing angles, water index of refraction

Behrenfeld et al 2022



Validation status

Geophysical Research Letters

RESEARCH LETTER 10.1029/2020GL090909

Key Points: • Spatiotemporal correlation scales are

quantified between global lidar and in situ observations • Satellite lidar has lower error and bias compared to ocean color observations of particulate









Particulate Backscattering in the Global Ocean: A

K. M. Bisson¹ 💿, E. Boss², P. J. Werdell³ 💿, A. Ibrahim^{3,4} 💿, and M. J. Behrenfeld¹ 💿

¹Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA, ²School of Marine Sciences,

University of Maine, Orono, ME, USA, 3NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Ocean Ecology Laboratory, Greenbelt,

Comparison of Independent Assessments

Maryland, USA, ⁴Science Systems and Applications Inc., Lanham, MD, USA

Bisson et al 2021b

_



Validation status

Geophysical Research Letters

RESEARCH LETTER 10.1029/2020GL090909

Key Points: · Spatiotemporal correlation scales are

quantified between global lidar and in situ observations Satellite lidar has lower error and bias compared to ocean color observations of particulate



(a)

(c)

35

arror 30

25

20

Median percent



(b) 35+ (2.1 Median percent error ²⁵ ²⁰ CALIPSO data (from CALIOP instrument) were compared with Argo b_{bp} and MODIS-Aqua b_{bp}. CALIOP was found to outperform MODIS-Aqua relative to float observations, regardless of time 15ON matchup period (top vs bottom panels)

Particulate Backscattering in the Global Ocean: A

Comparison of Independent Assessments



Bisson et al 2021b



Validation status

Geophysical Research Letters

RESEARCH LETTER 10.1029/2020GL090909

Key Points: · Spatiotemporal correlation scales are quantified between global lidar and

+

in situ observations Satellite lidar has lower erro and bias compared to ocean color observations of particulate



(a)

35

arror 30

25

20

35

25

20

a 30

percent

Median 1

ON

Median percent

(c)



Particulate Backscattering in the Global Ocean: A

K. M. Bisson¹ 💿, E. Boss², P. J. Werdell³ 💿, A. Ibrahim^{3,4} 💿, and M. J. Behrenfeld¹ 💿

Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA, ²School of Marine Sciences

University of Maine, Orono, ME, USA, ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Ocean Ecology Laboratory, Greenbelt,

Comparison of Independent Assessments

Maryland, USA, ⁴Science Systems and Applications Inc., Lanham, MD, USA

(d) +/- 24 hrs 8 bias, -10Relative 1 -20+/- 24 hrs CALIOP NODE MODIS P inversion variants inversion variants

Optics EXPRESS

In situ evaluation of spaceborne CALIOP lidar measurements of the upper-ocean particle backscattering coefficient

LÉO LACOUR,^{*} D RAPHAEL LAROUCHE, AND MARCEL BABIN

UMI Takuvik, CNRS/Université Laval, Ouébec, OC, Canada *leo lacour@tabuvik ulaval ca



CALIOP (solid yellow) agrees well for the primary processing but not when using doubling a constant parameter in the processing (dashed line)

Bisson et al 2021b

CALIOP

ICESat-2 data processing and access

Start from raw ATL03 photon height product, distributed in granules and organized into 3 pairs of strong and weak beams

Photon height product

Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR)

If it flew over a football field, the first ICESat would have taken a measurement outside each end zone; ICESat-2 would take measurements within each yard line.

The pulses of light travel through a series of lenses and mirrors before beaming to the ground. This pathway along the optical bench serves to start the stopwatch on the timing mechanism, check the laser's wavelength, set the size of the ground footprint, ensure that the laser and the telescope are perfectly aligned, and split the laser into six beams.

ICESat-2 ocean products

Product	What is it?	Used for?	References (Ocean focus)
ATL03	Global geolocated photon data	 Deriving optical information (Kd, bbp) in coastal and global waters Bathymetry in shallow waters 	Lu et al. 2020, 2021 , Eidam et al, 2022, Babbel et al, 2021, Parrish et al, 2019
ATL07 (ATL20)	Polar sea ice elevation (Gridded sea ice freeboard)	Sea ice freeboardSea ice lead identification	Bisson and Cael, 2021
ATL12 (ATL19)	Ocean Elevation (Gridded sea surface height)	• Sea surface height	Bagnardi et al, 2021

ICESat-2 ocean products

(Left) Under ice Argo float location (approximate within 50km) during October 2018-2021. (Right) Location of sea ice leads longer than 200m during just October 2020 from the ATL10 product. Similar ICESat-2 coverage exists in the Arctic. (unpublished)

ICESat-2 data processing and access

Start from raw ATL03 photon height product, distributed in granules and organized into 3 pairs of strong and weak beams

$$C = \frac{S(0)}{\beta_s}$$
$$b_b(z) = \frac{m^2 S(z) \exp(2Kdz)}{\beta(\pi)Ct^2}$$

m, t, C , β(π) are constants. K_d is calculated from ICESat-2 signal decay, S(z) is the signal.

ICESat-2 data processing and access

Start from raw ATL03 photon height product, distributed in granules and organized into 3 pairs of strong and weak beams

Demonstration of method to derive the effective attenuation coefficient from the exponential fit (purple) of the deconvolved signal, where the signal is in black, the impulse response function is blue, and the deconvolved signal with the afterpulse peaks removed is in green.

2. Then, calculate the effective attenuation coefficient from new signal

ICESat-2 data processing and access

 $S\left(z
ight)=\mathrm{F}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{z}
ight)\mathrm{S}_{m}\left(\mathrm{z}
ight)$

- 1. Solve for signal by taking the inverse of the function above with the normalized signal previously
- 2. Then, calculate the effective attenuation coefficient from new signal
- 3. Calculate calibration constant from surface photons and theoretical backscatter from wind speed

$$\beta_s = \frac{0.0209}{4\pi\sigma^2 \cos^4(\theta)} e^{\left(-\frac{\tan^2(\theta)}{2\sigma^2}\right)}$$

 σ^2 is the wave slope variance estimated from wind speed, or 0.003 + 0.00512v (v = wind speed in meters per second for winds between 7 and 13 m/s or 0.0146*sqrt(v) for winds < 7 m/s)

And θ is IS2's off nadir pointing angle (a max of 1.8 degrees)

GMAO wind speeds from MERRA-2 1-hourly instantaneous two-dimensional data products are used to compute theoretical estimates of ocean surface backscatter

ICESat-2 data processing and access

$S\left(z ight)=\mathrm{F}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{z} ight)\mathrm{S}_{m}\left(\mathrm{z} ight)$

. Solve for signal by taking the inverse of the function above with the normalized signal previously

- 2. Then, calculate the effective attenuation coefficient from new signal
- 3. Calculate calibration constant from surface photons and theoretical backscatter from wind speed

$$\beta_s = \frac{0.0209}{4\pi\sigma^2 \cos^4(\theta)} e^{\left(-\frac{\tan^2(\theta)}{2\sigma^2}\right)}$$

 σ^2 is the wave slope variance estimated from wind speed, or 0.003 + 0.00512v (v = wind speed in meters per second for winds between 7 and 13 m/s or 0.0146*sqrt(v) for winds < 7 m/s)

And θ is IS2's off nadir pointing angle (a max of 1.8 degrees)

GMAO wind speeds from MERRA-2 1-hourly instantaneous two-dimensional data products are used to compute theoretical estimates of ocean surface backscatter

$$C = \frac{S(0)}{\beta_s}$$
$$b_b(z) = \frac{m^2 S(z) \exp(2Kdz)}{\beta(\pi)Ct^2}$$

ICESat-2 data processing and access: Influence of beta(pi)

ICESat-2 data processing, issues

If photon receiving channels are saturated, they stop recording photons ... subsurface features will be present but appear unnatural due to dead time effects

ATL03-20200601220408-10290703-005-01.h5 2Depth -2 ATL03 height 0 Full saturation flag -6 76.56576.5776.57576.5876.58576.59Lat

SCIENCE TEAM

The Science Team is a group of competitively selected scientists who help define and implement ICESat-2's science goals. They provide guidance and advice to the ICESat-2 project to ensure the mission meets its science requirements.

ANDELA, NIELS

Vegetation NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

BORAK, JORDAN Vegetation NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

EIDAM, EMILY Oceanography University of North Carolina

FATOYIMBO, LOLA Vegetation NASA GSFC Biospheric Sciences Laboratory

FRICKER, HELEN Ice sheets University of California San Diego

HANAN, NIALL Vegetation New Mexico State University

HOLSCHUH, NICK Land ice Amherst College

KWOK, RON Sea ice University of Washington Polar Science Center

LU, XIAOMEI Atmospheric Science

BISSON, KELSEY

Oceans Oregon State University

CSATHO, BEA Land Ice University of Buffalo

FARRELL, SINEAD Sea Ice University of Maryland

FELIKSON, DENIS Land Ice NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

GARDNER, ALEX Ice sheets NASA Jet Propulsion Lab

HERZFELD, UTE Land ice University of Colorado Boulder

HORVAT, CHRIS Sea ice Brown University

LIPOVSKY, BRAD Land ice Harvard University

MAGRUDER, LORI Laser altimetry, Science Team Leader University of Texas

MAKSYM, TED

Sea ice Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

MORLIGHEM, MATHIEU Land Ice Dartmouth College

NEUENSCHWANDER, AMY Vegetation University of Texas

PALM, STEVE Atmospheric science Science Systems and Applications, Inc.

RYAN, JONATHAN Hydrology Brown University

SIEGFRIED, MATTHEW Land ice Colorado School of Mines

STROEVE, JULIENNE Sea ice University of Colorado Boulder

TILLING, RACHEL Sea ice NASA Goddard Space Flight Center MORISON, JAMES

Arctic oceans University of Washington

NEREM, STEVE Oceanography University of Colorado Boulder

PADMAN, LAURENCE Antarctic oceanography Earth & Space Research

POPESCU, SORIN Vegetation Texas A&M University

SHAPERO, DANIEL Hydrology University of Washington

SMITH, BEN Ice sheets University of Washington

THOMPSON, ANDY Antarctic oceans California Institute of Technology

VELICOGNA, ISABELLA Ice sheets University of California Irvine

Collaborative open source science

1Tb per day Assess simplified in 3 lines of code Written in object oriented programming Adaptable, community forward

icepyx: querying, obtaining, analyzing, and manipulating ICESat-2 datasets

Jessica Scheick ^{1¶}, Wei Ji Leong ², Kelsey Bisson ³, Anthony Arendt ⁴, Shashank Bhushan ⁴, Zachary Fair ⁵, Norland Raphael Hagen ⁶, Scott Henderson ⁴, Friedrich Knuth ⁴, Tian Li ⁷, Zheng Liu ⁴, Romina Piunno ⁸, Nitin Ravinder⁹, Landung "Don" Setiawan ⁴, Tyler Sutterley ⁴, JP Swinski⁵, and Anubhav ¹⁰

1 University of New Hampshire, USA 2 Development Seed, USA 3 Oregon State University, USA 4 University of Washington, USA 5 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, USA 6 CarbonPlan, USA 7 University of Bristol, UK 8 University of Toronto, Canada 9 University of Leeds, UK 10 University of Maryland, College Park, USA ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: 10.21105/joss.04912

Can lidar and ocean color be combined to get the best of both worlds?

If bb is known from lidar, reduces the amount of parameters to be solved for and possibly constrains solutions more accurately

(assuming bb and Rrs are accurate, as well as Rrs to absorption relationships) r_{rs} Inversion refresher

 $r_{rs} is ∝ the ratio of scattering to scattering$ + absorption $<math display="block">r_{rs}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} G_{i} * \left[\frac{b_{b}(\lambda)}{a(\lambda) + b_{b}(\lambda)}\right]^{i}$

And absorption (a) is the sum of all absorbing constituents (spectrally) and backscattering (b_b) is the sum of backscattering from seawater and from particles.

 $a(\lambda) = a_W(\lambda) + M_{cdm}e^{-S_{cdm}\lambda} + M_{ph}a*_{ph}(\lambda)$ $b_b(\lambda) = b_{bw}(\lambda) + M_{bp}\lambda^{-\gamma},$ Can lidar and ocean color be combined to get the best of both worlds?

Simulated data case using theoretically derived data shows good proof of concept

(think hydrolight runs!)

Bisson and friends, in review

ANTE STILL

Lidar basics

Can lidar and ocean color be combined to get the best of both worlds?

Relative differences on global scales between standard absorption and lidar-derived absorption show differences greater than 50% in some places

Need more field absorption data to understand which is more correct...

Bisson and friends, in review

Advantages:

- Lidar provides impendent source of optical properties...closure
- Lidar b_{bp} is more accurate and less temporally biased than ocean color b_{bp} .
- Lidar 'sees' into incredible features like sea ice cracks and subsurface ocean bathymetry, enabling research from polar ecosystems to coral health
- Polarization offers additional data and features to be examined (not discussed today)

Challenges:

Processing is under constant development, no routine centralized route yet Data require cloud computing or server storage – 1TB per day under ICESat-2 Methods rely on good beta(pi) values, which are uncertain, so field data are needed to confirm realism often

BUT -- this creates ripe opportunities for funding, publications (low fruit), and synergies across disciplines !!!

Spaceborne Lidar in the Study of Marine Systems

Annual Review of Marine Science

Vol. 10:121-147 (Volume publication date January 2018) First published as a Review in Advance on September 27, 2017 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-121916-063335

Chris A. Hostetler,¹ Michael J. Behrenfeld,² Yongxiang Hu,¹ Johnathan W. Hair,¹ and Jennifer A. Schulien²

¹Langley Research Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Hampton, Virginia 23681-2199, USA; email: chris.a.hostetler@nasa.gov
²Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331-2902, USA

Future reading

Going Beyond Standard Ocean Color Observations: Lidar and Polarimetry

Cédric Jamet ^{1*}, Amir Ibrahim^{2,3*}, Ziauddin Ahmad^{2,4}, Federico Angelini⁵, Marcel Babin⁶, Michael J. Behrenfeld⁷, Emmanuel Boss⁸, Brian Cairns⁹, James Churnside¹⁰, Jacek Chowdhary⁹, Anthony B. Davis¹¹, Davide Dionisi¹², Lucile Duforêt-Gaurier¹, Bryan Franz², Robert Frouin¹³, Meng Gao^{2,3,14}, Deric Gray¹⁵, Otto Hasekamp¹⁶, Xianqiang He¹⁷, Chris Hostetler¹⁸, Olga V. Kalashnikova¹¹, Kirk Knobelspiesse², Léo Lacour⁶, Hubert Loisel¹, Vanderlei Martins¹⁴, Eric Rehm⁶, Lorraine Remer¹⁴, Idriss Sanhaj¹⁹, Knut Stamnes²⁰, Snorre Stamnes¹⁸, Stéphane Victori¹⁹, Jeremy Werdell² and Peng-Wang Zhai¹⁴

