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## Introduction:

Mie theory provides the solution for a plane-parallel EM energy interacting with a homogeneous sphere. It assumes that a single scattering event is talking place. It is in the form of a series solution. The code provided (translated from the textbook by Bohren and Huffman, 1983) was designed to sum up the series elements based on a given convergence criteria. We will also use simple approximations based on the anomalous diffraction approximation (developed by van de Hulst) which are applicable to many marine particles.

The inputs to the Mie code:

1. Wavelength of light interacting with the particle in the medium $(\lambda)$.
2. Index of refraction of the medium which is assumed to be non-absorbing .
3. The diameter of the particle (with the same length units as the wavelength).
4. Index of refraction of the particle ( $m=n+i n$ '), both real and imaginary parts relative to the medium in which the particle is immersed. The imaginary part of the index of refraction relates to the absorption of the material it is made of in solution: $n^{\prime}=a_{\text {sol }} \lambda / 4 \pi$.

The solution of the Mie code is often given in terms of 'cross section' and/or 'efficiency factor' for absorption and attenuation (scattering is obtained as a difference). For example, the attenuation cross-section, $\sigma_{\text {ext }}$, has units of $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ and provides the amount of light that is attenuated by a single particle in one $\mathrm{m}^{3}$. If we had N such particle in $\mathrm{a}^{3}$ of water the beam attenuation would be:

$$
\mathrm{c}=\sigma_{\mathrm{ext}}\left[\text { number concentration] }=\sigma_{\mathrm{ext}} \mathrm{~N} .\right.
$$

The efficiency factor ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {ext }}$ ) is the cross section divided by the cross sectional area, e.g. for attenuation:

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ext}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{ext}} / \pi \mathrm{r}^{2}
$$

The efficiency factors are dimensionless. Another output of the code is the phase function. The optical properties of an ensemble of particles are, by the Beer-Lambert law, the sum of the optical properties of each of the individual particle present.

Mie theory part I: optical properties of a single particle.
a. Review: analytical limits for Mie’s solution (homogeneous spheres). See appendix.
b. Class example (and homework): let's assume we are dealing with non-absorbing rain drops ( $\mathrm{m}=1.33$ ) and a wavelength in air of 550 nm $(0.55 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. How does the mass-specific attenuation ( $c^{*}=Q_{\text {ext }}{ }^{*}$ crosssection/volume/density) vary as function of size for drops varying in size $\mathrm{D}=\mathbf{0 . 0 1}, \mathbf{0 . 1}, \mathbf{1}, 10,100,1000$. Use the Matlab routine callbh.m and the Mie solver (bhmie.m) to get $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {ext }}=\mathbf{Q}_{\text {c }}$.

Given a water fraction of $1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ calculate the transmission ( $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{CR}}$ ) through a cloud $R=1 \mathrm{~km}$ thick for water distributed uniformly with the above diameters.

## How does it explain visibility differences between fog and rain?

c. Class example: let's assume a phytoplankton with
$\mathrm{m}=1.05+0.01 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{D}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m} \& \lambda=440 \mathrm{~nm}$
What analytical regime is applicable for such a cell?
What is its attenuation (c), scattering (b) and absorption (a) per cell based on that approximation? Assuming $5 * 10^{4}$ phytoplankton per ml compute the absorption, scattering and attenuation coefficients of this ensemble of monodispersed particles?

Using the Matlab routine callbh.m and the Mie solver (bhmie.m) get $\mathrm{Q}_{a}$, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}, \beta(\theta)$ and $\tilde{\beta}(\theta)$. Calculate $a, b, c$ and $b_{b}$ per cell and for a population of $5^{*} 10^{4}$ phytoplankton per ml . Compare your results with the theoretical approximation you used above.

## Part II: Optical properties of a population of particles:

a. Assume a phytoplankton population with $\mathrm{n}=1.05+0.01 \mathrm{i}$ relative to water. Assume the particles size distribution to be a power law distribution with a 'differential' size distribution function $f(D)=5 * 10^{4} D^{-4}$ particles per ml per $\mu \mathrm{m}$ for particles ranging from $0.2-100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Subdivide this range logarithmically into 35 size bins. Assume a wavelength of 440nm.

- Using the Mie code (callbh_variedsizes_part2.m) obtain $a, b, c, b_{b}$ the volume scattering function (VSF; $\beta(\theta)$ ) and the phase function $(\tilde{\beta}$ $(\theta)$ ) for each size group.
- Add them up to get the IOPs of the population (use Junge_population.m).
- Compare the phase function for all sizes (plot them all on a semilogarithmic plot).
- How do they compare to the shape of the total VSF?
- How does $b_{b} / b$ changes as function of size?
- Which contributes more to the attenuation in each size group, $a$ or $b$ ? (plot them as function of D).
- How do the optical characteristics change if $f(D) \propto D^{-3}$ ?
- How does your answer change if the range is $1-100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (i.e. what does the range $0.2-1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ contribute most to)?
- Do the results change significantly when we used the finer spaced size bins (i.e. 100 versus 35 bins)?


## Part III: Inverse calculations:

a. Using a phytoplankton absorption curve (obtained with an ac-9) for T. Pseudonana we find $a(676)=0.14 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$. Assuming all cells had the same size $(4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ find $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}(\lambda)$, for $\lambda=676 \mathrm{~nm}$. Assume $\mathrm{N}=5^{*} 10^{5} \mathrm{cells} / \mathrm{ml}$

- Use $a(\lambda)=\mathrm{Q}_{a}(\lambda) \pi \mathrm{D}^{2} / 4^{*} \mathrm{~N}$ and the AD.m code. Notice: in the anomalous diffraction approximation, $\mathrm{Q}_{a}$ is not a function of n , and thus the assumed $n$ value will not affect $\mathrm{Q}_{a}$.
- For the same cells it was found that $\mathrm{c}(676)=0.8 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$. Using AD.m vary n between 1.02 and 1.2 and find which is most consistent with this observation. Notice: there may be more than one solution. Choose the one that seems most reasonable.
b. A given population of inorganic particles $\left(\mathrm{n}(660)=1.15, \mathrm{n}^{\prime}(660)=0.001\right)$ is distributed according to a power-law with $\xi=3.5$ between 2 and $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. If $c(660)=3 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$, what is the amplitude of the PSD (in \# per ml per $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) at $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ? Use AD_population.m.

Note: similar inverse approach can yield population size exponent or size range for a population with given $n$ and $n$ ' and with the full Mie code.

Appendix: A brief survey of some analytical solutions for Mie theory (based mostly on Light Scattering by Small Particles by Van de Hulst):

Definitions: $\mathrm{x} \equiv \pi \mathrm{D} / \lambda$-size parameter $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{in}$ '-index of refraction relative to medium
$\rho \equiv 2 x(n-1)$-phase lag suffered by ray crossing the sphere along its diameter $\rho$ ' $\equiv 4 x n$ '-optical thickness corresponding to absorption along the diameter $\beta \equiv \tan ^{-1}\left(n^{\prime} /(n-1)\right)$

## D- Diameter

$\lambda$-wavelength in medium (=wavelength in vacuum/index of refraction of medium relative to vacuum)

Rayleigh regime: $\mathrm{x} \ll 1$ and $|\mathrm{m}| \mathrm{x} \ll 1$
$\mathrm{Q}_{a}=4 \mathrm{x} \operatorname{Im}\left\{\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}-1\right) /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}+2\right)\right\}$
note: proportional to $\lambda^{-1}$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}=8 / 3 \mathrm{x}^{4}\left|\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}-1\right) /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}+2\right)\right|^{2} \quad$ note: proportional to $\lambda^{-4}$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{Q}_{a}+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{bb}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}} / 2$
Phase function: $\beta=0.75\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)$
Rayleigh-Gans regime: $|m-1| \ll 1$ and $\rho \ll 1$
$\mathrm{Q}_{a}=8 / 3 \times \operatorname{Im}\{(\mathrm{m}-1)\}$
note: proportional to $\lambda^{-1}$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}=\left|\mathrm{m}^{2}-1\right|\left[2.5+2^{*} \mathrm{x}^{2}-\sin (4 \mathrm{x}) / 4 \mathrm{x}-7 / 16(1-\cos (4 \mathrm{x})) / \mathrm{x}^{2}+\left(1 /\left(2 \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)-2\right)\{\gamma+\log (4 \mathrm{x})-\right.$ $\operatorname{Ci}(4 x)\}]$, where $\gamma=0.577$ and .
$C_{i}(x)=-\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\cos (u)}{u} d u$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{Q}_{a}+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}$
For $\mathrm{x} \ll 1$ : $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}=32 / 27 \mathrm{x}^{4}|\mathrm{~m}-1|^{2}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{bb}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}} / 2$
For $\mathrm{x} \gg 1$ : $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}=2 \mathrm{x}^{2}|\mathrm{~m}-1|^{2}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{bb}}=0.31|\mathrm{~m}-1|^{2}$
Anomalous diffraction: $x \gg 1,|m-1| \ll 1$ ( $\rho$ can be $\gg 1$ )
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}=2-4 \exp (-\rho \tan \beta)\left[\cos (\beta) \sin (\rho-\beta) / \rho+(\cos \beta / \rho)^{2} \cos (\rho-2 \beta)\right]+4(\cos \beta / \rho)^{2} \cos 2 \beta$
$\mathrm{Q}_{a}=1+2 \exp \left(-\rho^{\prime}\right) / \rho^{\prime}+2\left(\exp \left(-\rho^{\prime}\right)-1\right) / \rho^{\prime 2}$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{Q}_{a}$
Geometric optic: $x \ggg 1$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}=2$
Absorbing particle: $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}=1, \mathrm{Q}_{a}=1$

Non-absorbing particle: $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}=2, \mathrm{Q}_{a}=0$
Angular scattering cross section: $\widehat{\beta}_{\text {diff }}(\theta)=\frac{G x^{2}}{16 \pi}\left[\frac{2 J_{1}(x \sin \theta)}{x \sin \theta}\right]^{2}(1+\cos \theta)^{2}$, where G is the cross sectional area $\left(\pi D^{2} / 4\right)$.

