	ESTION  HOW PREPARED WAS THE INSTRUCTOR FOR CLASS?	MEDIAN	MEAN	STD. DEV.	NO RESPONSE	1	2	3	4	5
	(1-WELL PREPARED; 5-OFTEN UNPREPARED)	1	1	0	0 (0.0%)	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
02.	HOW CLEARLY WERE THE OBJECTIVES OF THE COURSE PRESENTED? (1-VERY CLEAR; 5-UNCLEAR)	1.25	1.43	0.535	0 (0.0%)	4 (57.1%)	3 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
03.	HOW ENTHUSIASTIC WAS THE INSTRUCTOR ABOUT THE SUBJECT? (1-VERY MUCH; 5-VERY LITTLE)	1	1	0	0 (0.0%)	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
04.	HOW CLEARLY DID THE INSTRUCTOR PRESENT IDEAS AND THEORIES? (1-VERY CLEAR; 5-OFTEN UNCLEAR)	1.25	1.43	0.535	0	4	3	0	0	0
05.	HOW MUCH WERE STUDENTS ENCOURAGED TO THINK FOR THEMSELVES? (1-VERY MUCH; 5-VERY LITTLE)	1.1	1.29	0.488	(0.0%)	(57.1%) 5	(42.9%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
06.	HOW CONCERNED WAS THE INSTRUCTOR FOR THE QUALITY OF HIS OR HER TEACHING? (1-VERY CONCERNED; 5-UNCONCERNED)	1	1.14	0.378	(0.0%)	(71.4%)	(28.6%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
07.	HOW ORDERLY AND LOGICAL WERE THE INSTRUCTORS PRESENTATIONS OF MATERIAL? (1-VERY MUCH; 5-NOT AT ALL)	1	1.14	0.378	(0.0%)	(85.7%)	(14.3%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
08.	HOW OPEN WAS THE INSTRUCTOR TO OTHER VIEWPOINTS? (1-VERY OPEN; 5-OFTEN CLOSED)	1.1	1.43	0.787	(0.0%)	(85.7%)	(14.3%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
09.	DID THE INSTRUCTOR SHOW RESPECT FOR THE QUESTIONS AND OPINIONS OF STUDENTS? (1-ALWAYS; 5-RARELY)	1	1.29	0.756	(0.0%)	(71.4%) 6	(14.3%) 0	(14.3%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
10.	HOW OFTEN WERE EXAMPLES USED IN CLASS? (1-VERY OFTEN; 5-RARELY)	1	1	0	(0.0%)	(85.7%) 6	(0.0%)	(14.3%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
11.	DID THE INSTRUCTOR INSPIRE CONFIDENCE IN HIS OR HER KNOWLEDGE OF SUBJECT? (1-VERY MUCH; 5-LITTLE)	1	1	0	(14.3%)	(85.7%) 6	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
12.	HOW GENUINELY CONCERNED WAS THE INSTRUCTOR WITH STUDENTS PROGRESS? (1-VERY CONCERNED; 5-UNCONCERNED)	1.1	1.43	0.787	(14.3%) 0	(85.7%) 5	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
13.	OVERALL, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE INSTRUCTOR? (1-EXCELLENT; 5-BELOW AVERAGE)	1.25	1.57	0.787	(0.0%)	(71.4%) 4	(14.3%)	(14.3%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
14.	WERE CLASS MEETINGS PROFITABLE AND WORTH ATTENDING? (1-ALWAYS; 5-NOT USUALLY)	1.25	1.43	0.535	(0.0%)	(57.1%)	(28.6%)	(14.3%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
15.	HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THIS COURSE?				(0.0%)	(57.1%)	(42.9%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
16.	(1-VERY INTERESTING; 5-UNINTERESTING)  DID YOU DEVELOP SIGNIFICANT SKILLS IN FIELD AS A RESULT OF TAKING COURSE?	1.1	1.29	0.488	0 (0.0%)	5 (71.4%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
17.	(1-VERY MUCH; 5-VERY LITTLE)  HOW WAS THE PACE AT WHICH THE MATERIAL IN THE COURSE WAS COVERED?	1.25	1.43	0.535	0 (0.0%)	4 (57.1%)	3 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
18.	(1-TOO SLOW; 5-TOO FAST)  WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL RATING OF THE PRIMARY TEXTBOOK(S)?	2.9	3.14	0.9	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (14.3%)	5 (71.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (14.3%)
	(1-EXCELLENT; 5-POOR)	1.5	2.33	1.155	4 (57.1%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
19.	WERE STUDENTS REQUIRED TO APPLY CONCEPTS TO DEMONSTRATE UNDERSTANDING? (1-VERY MUCH; 5-VERY LITTLE)	1.1	1.29	0.488	0 (0.0%)	5 (71.4%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
20.	HOW DID THE WORK LOAD FOR THIS COURSE COMPARE TO OTHERS OF EQUAL CREDIT? (1-LIGHTER; 5-MUCH HEAVIER)	2.93	3	0	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
21.	HOW MUCH INTELLECTUAL DISCIPLINE WAS REQUIRED IN THIS COURSE? (1-VERY MUCH; 5-VERY LITTLE)	2.17	2.57	1.272	0 (0.0%)	1 (14.3%)	3 (42.9%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (14.3%)
22.	WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL RATING OF THIS COURSE? (1-EXCELLENT; 5-POOR)	1.25	1.43	0.535	0 (0.0%)	4 (57.1%)	3 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
23.	HOW PROMPTLY WERE ASSIGNMENTS AND TESTS RETURNED? (1-VERY PROMPT; 5-TOO SLOW)	1	1	0	4 (57.1%)	3 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
24.	COULD TESTS BE COMPLETED IN THE ALLOTTED TIME? (1-ALWAYS; 5-RARELY)	N/A	1	N/A	6	1	0	(0.0%)	0	0
25.	DID INSTRUCTOR LET YOU KNOW WHAT HE OR SHE EXPECTED ON TESTS + ASSIGNMENTS? (1-VERY CLEARLY; 5-NOT CLEAR)	1.83	2	0	(85.7%)	(14.3%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
26.	DID EXAMS REFLECT THE IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE COURSE? (1-VERY MUCH; 5-VERY LITTLE)	N/A	2	N/A	(57.1%) 6	(0.0%)	(42.9%) 1	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
27.	HOW CLEAR WERE EXAMINATION QUESTIONS? (1-VERY CLEAR; 5-UNCLEAR)	N/A	2	N/A	(85.7%) 6	(0.0%)	(14.3%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
28.	HOW FAIR WERE THE GRADING PROCEDURES? (1-COMPLETELY; 5-UNFAIR)	1	1	0	(85.7%)	(0.0%)	(14.3%) 0	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
29.	OVERALL, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE EXAMINATION PROCEDURE? (1-EXCELLENT; 5-POOR)	N/A	2	N/A	(57.1%) 6	(42.9%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
30.	HOW WELL WERE THE LABS INTEGRATED WITH THE LECTURES? (1-VERY WELL; 5-NOT AT ALL)	N/A	N/A	N/A	(85.7%)	(0.0%)	(14.3%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
	(I TENT TIELE, STOTAL ALE)	IN/A	IN/A	19/75	(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)

UNIVERSITY OF MAINE STUDENT INPUT FOR TEACHING SCHOOL OF MARINE SCIENCE - SPRING 2007 7 STUDENTS RESPONDED BOSS, EMMANUEL SMS 491 001

QUESTION		MEAN	STD. DEV.	NO RESPONSE	1	2	3	4	5
31. DID THE LABS PROVIDE A LEARNING EXPERIENCE? (1-VERY MUCH; 5-VERY LITTLE)	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
32. HOW HELPFUL WAS THE LAB INSTRUCTOR? (1-VERY MUCH; 5-VERY LITTLE)	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
<ol> <li>WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL RATING OF THE LABORATORIES? (1-EXCELLENT; 5-POOR)</li> </ol>	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
34. ADDITIONAL QUESTION 1	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
35. ADDITIONAL QUESTION 2	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
36. ADDITIONAL QUESTION 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
37. ADDITIONAL QUESTION 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
38. ADDITIONAL QUESTION 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
39. ADDITIONAL QUESTION 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
40. ADDITIONAL QUESTION 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	7 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)