

4.4 The Classical Canonical Equation

In this section we continue to ascend the ladder of generality and derive still further instances of canonical radiance equations. We still have not reached the most general physical setting in which the canonical equation can hold, but we have reached the point where the full canonical structure of the equation finally emerges, and we turn now to the derivation of that form.

Let $Q_r(x, 0)$ be an arbitrary line of sight in a homogeneous optical medium X . To fix ideas, let the medium X be a natural hydrosol, and let us adopt the standard coordinate

frame for such a setting (Sec. 2.4 and Fig. 2.3). Let $Q_r(x, z)$ be positioned as shown in Fig. 4.1.

With the geometry fixed as in Fig. 4.1, we now assume, a and o to be independent of location along the generally inclined path $Q_r(x, U)$, and that the light field does not vary over a given horizontal plane, i.e., the light field is stratified. The new feature of the canonical equation appears by assuming that there exists a nonnegative real number K (which is less than a) such that:

$$N^*(z, E) = N^*(z_0, E) e^{-K(z-z_0)} \quad (C1)$$

for every path $Q_r(x, t)$ in X . This means that we are hypothesizing an exponential decrease of $N^*(z, E)$ with depth z in X . The justification for this assumption rests on both experimental and theoretical grounds. For an experimental justification, see Sec. 1.2; for theoretical justifications see

8.5, 8.6 and Sec. 10.7. For the present, we are concerned primarily with the resultant form of (6) of Sec. 3.13 to which this assumption leads us. Thus starting with (6) of Sec. 3.13, we have

$$N_r(z, t) = N_o(x, t) T_r(x, z) + \int_{r'} N^*(x', t) T_r(x', z) dr' \quad (6)$$

8 CANONICAL FORMS VOL. III

FIG. 4.1 Setting for the derivation of the classical canonical equation for radiance, Since $N^*(x', z)$ depends only on the depth z' of the point x' along $Q_r(x, C)$, we may drop references to x and y coordinates and need only relate the variable of integration r' with z' using the relation

$$z_0 - r' \cos \theta$$

so that:

$$dz' = -\cos \theta dr'$$

The equation for $N_r(z, E)$ with " z " denoting depth, then becomes

$$N(z, E) = \int_{r'} N_o(z_0, E) e^{-ar} + \int_{r'} N^*(z_0, E) e^{-K(z-z_0)} e^{-a(r-r')} dr' \quad (7)$$

SEC. 1.4 CANONICAL EQUATION

That is:

$$N_r(z, t) = N_o(z_0, E) e^{-az} + \int_{r'} N^*(z_0, E) \exp\{K(z-z_0) - a(r-r')\} dr' \quad (8)$$

$$N_o(z_0, E) e^{-az} + \int_{r'} N^*(z_0, E) e^{-az} \exp\{(a + K \cos \theta) r' - K(z-z_0)\} dr'$$

Hence:

$$N_r(z, \theta) = N_0(z_0, C) e^{-a r} + \frac{N_0(z_0, C)}{a + K \cos \theta} \exp\left[-(a + K \cos \theta) r\right]$$

Using (1) once again and the connection between z and r along $Or(x, \theta)$, we have:

$$N_r(z, \theta) = N_0(z_0, C) e^{-a r} + \frac{N_0(z_0, C)}{a + K \cos \theta} \exp\left[-(a + K \cos \theta) r\right]$$

which is the desired form of the classical canonical equation for $N_r(a, \sim)$.

We now make several observations on the structure of (2). First of all, (2) is a proper generalization of equations (1) of Secs. 4.1 and 4.2, and of Koschmieder's equation in Sec. 4.3, reducing to the latter either when $K \sim 0$ and $0 < M < Jr/2$, or when $K \rightarrow 0$ and e arbitrary. In all real natural hydrosols, $K > 0$ so that Koschmieder's equation holds in natural hydrosols only when $e = n/2$. In the atmosphere on relatively clear days, $K = 0$ (very nearly) over relatively long horizontal or inclined paths, and so Koschmieder's equation holds over relatively extensive regions in the atmosphere (cf., Ref. [71]),

As a second observation, we note that the main use of (2) is to predict the apparent radiance N_r of given objects in natural optical media when a , K and N_0 are known or estimable. Furthermore, (2) yields a useful estimate of the path radiance N_r generated over a path of sight in an optical medium, that is,

$$N_r(z, \theta) = \frac{N_0(z_0, C)}{a + K \cos \theta} \exp\left[-(a + K \cos \theta) r\right]$$

If we now write:

$$N_q(z, \sim) \text{ for } N_0(z_0, C) / (a + K \cos \theta) \text{ , (4)}$$

which is a straightforward generalization of the equilibrium radiance defined in (2) of 4.3, (3) may then be rendered in the form

10 CANONICAL FORMS VOL. III

$N(z, E)$

$$N^*(z, E) = (1 - e^{-(a + K \cos \theta) r}) / (a + K \cos \theta) \text{ (5)}$$

$$1 + a \cos \theta$$

This shows that the equilibrium radiance $N(z, C)$ is observable only for infinitely long horizontal paths in natural hydrosols. For other paths, N contributes to the observable quantity N_r in the manner shown in (5) but itself is not directly observable.

As a third observation imagine a descent into a deep hydrosol, such as a deep lake or part of the ocean. Let $N_0(z, t)$ be the inherent radiance of the air-water boundary for directions θ in E^- , and $N_0(z_j, \sim)$ be the inherent radiance of the lower boundary of the medium for directions

in E^+ . Then when the optical distance ar to the boundaries becomes relatively large, e^{-ar} becomes relatively small. Under such conditions $N_r(z, \theta)$ is expressed essentially in the form (5), with the exponential term in (5) also negligible. Hence, at relatively great depths in deep natural hydrosols we have essentially:

$$1 + a \cos \theta$$

where "r" has now been dropped from the notation as being inessential. Thus the radiance distribution $N(z, \theta)$ at relatively great depths z is basically an ellipsoid of revolution with vertical axis and with eccentricity $c = K/a$, which is modified, as shown in (6), by the equilibrium radiance distribution $N_0(z, \theta)$ at the same depth.

There is a special class of homogeneous optical media for which (6) reduces to precisely the ellipsoid of revolution of eccentricity c , namely media for which $a(z, \theta; E)$ is independent of θ and E . For such media we have from the definition (3) of Sec. 4.2:

$$a(z, \theta) = s/4n \quad (7) \text{ so that from (8) of Sec. 3.14:}$$

$$N^*(z, \theta) = s h(z) / 4n \quad (8)$$

where $h(z)$ is the scalar irradiance induced by $N(z, \theta)$ (Sec. 2.7).

If we write

for s/a ,

which is the albedo for single scattering, or scattering attenuation ratio, then (6) becomes

$N(z, E)$

$$4n(1 + a \cos \theta)$$

SEC. 3.4 CANONICAL EQUATION 11

It is quite clear from (8) that $N^*(z, \theta)$ is independent of θ , and that

$$h(z) = h(z_0) e^{-K(z-z_0)} \quad (10)$$

From this we see that there is in $N(z, E)$ a multiplicative uncoupling of depth (z) and directional (θ or E) parameters and that scalar irradiance and path function values both decrease exponentially with depth and at equal rates. This multiplicative uncoupling of z and C can be represented as a product of a function of z only and a function of θ only, it is of far-reaching importance in the general theory of solutions of the equation of transfer. (See Sec. 6.6•) Furthermore, we shall return to (6) and to (9) once again in Sec. 10.5, when the problem of the asymptotic radiance distribution at great depths is examined in a more rigorous fashion.

The preceding observations point up the versatility of the classical canonical form of the equation of transfer and suggest that of all the various equations encountered in practice, (2) is perhaps the most handy and succinct rule of thumb on natural light field behavior to carry around in one's memory. To add to the evidence of the utility of (2) we now deduce from it two further features of natural light fields.

First, we may ask: What is the behavior of path radiance $N_r(z, E)$ for very short paths of sight? This question directs attention to a situation which complements that centered around (6). Now from elementary calculus it is at once clear that:

$$1 - e^{-(a + K \cos \theta)r} = (a + K \cos \theta)r + o(r)$$

where $o(r)$ is a function such $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} o(r)/r = 0$, so that for small r , $o(r)$ is an infinitesimal of order higher than r . Therefore (3) reduces, within first order terms in r , to:

Hence the answer to the question posed above is that for short paths of light

$N_f\{z, \theta\}$ varies linearly with r , the proportionality factor being $N^*(z, \theta)$.

Finally, we may ask: What is the structure of the apparent radiance distribution near the air-water boundary, i.e., for very shallow depths? This query rounds out the complementary situation to that in (6) which describes the light field at relatively great depths. We take a simple case to illustrate the manner in which such questions may be

answered using the canonical equation for radiance. Suppose the sky above the natural hydrosol is a deep blue and the sun is the only bright source of light in the azure hemisphere. Let attention be directed at a relatively dark point of sky away from the sun's disc. Hence the radiance N_{0010} (with \sim in $-$) from that portion of the sky as seen from just below the surface is very small compared to the sun's radiance.

12 Canonical FORMS VOL. III

Now keep in fixed, let depth z increase, If the term

$N_0(0, C) e^{-a}$ is negligible, as we now wish it to be, then

$N_r(z, \theta)$ is given essentially by $N_r(z, \theta)$. For small depths z

(and hence small path lengths r), $N_r(z, \theta)$ is essentially 0. As z increases through

small depths, $N(z, C)$ builds up linearly in magnitude according to (11). For still further

increases in z , $N_f(z, C)$ eventually levels off, reaches a maximum, and then

subsequently plunges toward zero exponentially with rate K as $z \rightarrow \infty$. All this

information is read off during an inspection of (3). We can obtain an estimate of the

depth z at which the maximum path radiance is reached. Thus, from elementary

calculus we find the maximum of $N^*(z, \theta)$ with respect to z by holding θ fixed,

differentiating with respect for z , and setting the derivative equal to zero. First, recalling that

we then use (3) to differentiate $N_r^*(z, \theta)$

$\frac{d}{dz} N_r(z, \theta) = \frac{d}{dz} [1 - e^{-K \cos \theta r}]$

$\frac{d}{dz} [1 - e^{-K \cos \theta r}]$

$\frac{d}{dz} [1 - e^{-K \cos \theta r}]$

$\frac{d}{dz} [1 - e^{-K \cos \theta r}]$

$N^*(z, \theta) = \frac{d}{dz} [1 - e^{-K \cos \theta r}]$

$\frac{d}{dz} [1 - e^{-K \cos \theta r}]$

$\frac{d}{dz} [1 - e^{-K \cos \theta r}] = -KN^*(z, \theta) - (a + K \cos \theta) r + \cos \theta e^{-K \cos \theta r}$

$\frac{d}{dz} [1 - e^{-K \cos \theta r}] = -KN^*(z, \theta) - (a + K \cos \theta) r + \cos \theta e^{-K \cos \theta r}$

$\frac{d}{dz} [1 - e^{-K \cos \theta r}] = -KN^*(z, \theta) - (a + K \cos \theta) r + \cos \theta e^{-K \cos \theta r}$

Setting the derivative to zero, and solving for z_m , the value of z which maximizes $N_r(z, \theta)$, we have

$z_m = -\frac{c}{\sec \theta}$

$n = a(c + \sec \theta)$

(12)

where "E" is again written for K/a .

Still further, more-realistic models can be constructed for the radiance patterns at shallow depths in natural waters using similar procedures but now based on the full form of

the classical canonical equation (2); the explorations of such models and that of (12) are still in their early stages of development and are left to interested students of

the subject. Figure 4.2, taken from [298], depicts a comparison plot between some computed values of $N_r(z, \theta)$ (solid curve) using (2) with actual observed radiances

and path function values at the surface obtained in a real situation, and thereby

illustrates graphically the predictive power of the simple model of natural light fields

summarized in (2)* observe in particular the reasonably good agreement between the predicted and observed value of the depth z_m at which maximum radiance occurs.

i 1 f lwo ` / `i

FIG. 4.2 Tyler's experimental verification (dots) of the curves predicted by the classical canonical equation for radiance.

Further, models beyond (2) may now be explored by letting IC vary in various known ways with depth, so that slightly more general forms than (1) are the starting points for the integration of the equation of transfer. In view of the fact that N_* generally behaves very nearly in an exponential manner with depth, these departures of K from constancy need only be very slight to cover most real situations. The basis for these generalizations is given in (19) of Sec. 4.5.