"MOBY past, current and future"



IJ

Kenneth Voss, Physics Dept. Univ of Miami MOBY TEAM (Carol Johnson, NIST, and Mark Yarbrough and many at Moss Landing Marine lab) 7/16/15.

Ocean Color opportunity:

Clarke, Ewing and Lorenzen's aircraft measurements (Science, 1970) relationships have been pointed out between surface Chl and the spectra of the water leaving the ocean.



From Gordon et al. 1985, in Satellite Oceanic Remote Sensing



1) Short history of the MOBY project and its beginnings

2) Current Status of the project

3) Future directions



The driving force for MOBY came out of CZCS experience

- CZCS launched 10/24/1978
- 3 post launch validation cruises:
 - Gulf of Mexico, R/V Athena (14 days
 - Baja California, Gulf of California,
 R/V Velero IV (22 days)
 - East coast US, R/V Athena (25 days)
- These 61 days of ship time with



55 stations, resulting in only 9 stations suitable for calibration.

Leads Dennis Clark to the idea of an autonomous platform

- Collect and send back data daily
- Site requirements:
 - Reasonable clear sky statistics
 - Homogeneous waters with a clean atmosphere
 - Logistically possible (close to a source of ships, reasonable chance of low sea state)
 - Communication daily (cell phone)



MOBY timeline

- Dennis begins development in 1985 (30 years ago)
- MODIS(NASA) funding started around 1990, then SeaWiFS provided accelerated funding in 1991.
- First prototype deployment in Monterrey, 1993 (24 years ago)
- Prototype in Hawaii, 1995
- Operational deployed in 1997 (18 years ago), funded by NASA.
- Funded by NOAA since 2007

Basically 2 instruments have been in the field, operating alternately for 18+ years.

Site chosen was off of Lanai, Hawaii.

Tent constructed on UHMC site

Ships available





KA'IMIKAI-O-KANALOA



Es Collector

Solar Panels

Cellular, GPS, VHF, AR GOS, Strobe

MOBY Surface Float:

* TT7 Control Unit

* Cellular Transceiver

Fiber Optic Cable Pass

Ed Collector

Lu Collector

Fiberglass Spar

Collector Standoff

Instrument Bay: *MOS System

*Power Junction

*Batteries



Schematic of Current MOBY



Hyperspectral,0.57 nm spacing in blue spectral region, 0.91 nm FWHM 0.81 nm and 1.2 nm FWHM in red.

Hyperspectral, with this much resolution, allows the same system (one site) to be used for multiple satellite sensor systems, including out-of-band response, to tie these systems together.

This system has been extensively characterized

- Stray light characteristics on SIRCUS repeatedly measured, with corrections added to data
- Pre-post radiometric response with direct traceability to NIST scales and additional custom instruments monitoring of calibration sources
- Diver calibrations/cleaning monthly
- On board sources monitored daily
- Area around the site has been characterized



18 year time series (with repeating annual trend removed).



Note over the 18 years, there has been a change in the time of acquisitions, due to satellite mission requirements, now measurements are later in the day compared to earlier in the mission...causes an apparent trend in the data.

Our current understanding of the MOBY uncertainties, first radiances:

Laboratory L(lambda)

| ID | Component description | M1=410 | M2=443 | M3=486 | M4=551 |
|----|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | nm | nm | nm | nm |
| 1 | Reference source | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.61 | 0.53 |
| 2 | Reference source drift | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.53 |
| 3 | Uniformity of source | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 4 | Interpolation to MOBY λ | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 5 | Reproducibility, Pre/Post | 1.43 | 1.12 | 0.95 | 0.87 |
| 6 | Wavelength calibration | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.14 |
| | RSS, Radiometric, 1-6 | 1.76 | 1.46 | 1.27 | 1.02 |

Going to Lu(lambda)

| ID | Component description | M1=410 | M2=443 | M3=486 | M4=551 |
|----|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | nm | nm | nm | nm |
| 7 | Immersion | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 8 | Stray Light Correction | 1.79 | 0.6 | 0.24 | 0.55 |
| 9 | calibration measurement uncertainty | 0.51 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.12 |
| 10 | in situ measurement uncertainty | 0.88 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 1.03 |
| 11 | integration time correction | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| 12 | instrument temperature correction | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| | RSS, Radiometric & Measurement (1-12), | 2.72 | 1.77 | 1.53 | 1.68 |
| | LuTOP | | | | |

Then Es uncertainties Laboratory

| ID | Component description | M1=410nm | M2=443nm | M3=486nm | M4=551nm |
|----|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | Reference source | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.39 |
| 2 | Reference source drift | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.69 | 0.67 |
| 3 | Effects of EG&G bench | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| 4 | Interpolation to MOBY λ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5 | Reproducibility, Pre/Post | 1.14 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.69 |
| 6 | Wavelength calibration | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.12 |
| | RSS, Radiometric | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.23 | 1.15 |

Field

| ID | Component description | M1=410 | M2=443 | M3=486 | M4=551 |
|----|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | nm | nm | nm | nm |
| 7 | Stray Light Correction | 1.07 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.05 |
| 8 | calibration measurement uncertainty | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| 9 | in situ measurement uncertainty | 2.68 | 2.51 | 2.56 | 2.67 |
| 10 | integration time correction | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| 11 | instrument temperature correction | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| | RSS, Radiometric & Measurement (1- | 3.31 | 2.91 | 2.86 | 2.92 |
| | 11), Es | | | | |

Finally derived Products

Lw

| ID | Component description | M1=410nm | M2=443nm | M3=486nm | M4=551nm |
|----|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | Lu | 2.72 | 1.77 | 1.53 | 1.68 |
| 2 | f alpha to zero (tilt) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 3 | Kl zero to one | 0.58 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.69 |
| 4 | self shading | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.87 |
| | RSS, (1-4), Lw | 2.81 | 1.90 | 1.70 | 2.03 |

nLw, or Lwn

| ID | Component description | M1=410nm | M2=443nm | M3=486nm | M4=551nm |
|----|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | Lw | 2.81 | 1.90 | 1.70 | 2.03 |
| 2 | Es | 3.31 | 2.91 | 2.86 | 2.92 |
| | RSS, (1-2), Lwn | 4.35 | 3.47 | 3.33 | 3.56 |

What has worked?

- 3 arms: KL between different arms is a very sensitive measure of relative calibrations. Incorporates redundancy.
- Dedicated long term personnel focused on QC/data reduction, calibration/characterization, and engineering/site management has been key.
- Weather accessiblity a large fraction of the time very important(reduce wasted ship time).
- Close collaboration with NIST, from the beginning has enabled continual progress. Important to start this collaboration at the beginning.

What has worked(2)

- As many references as you can get (internal lamps, LED's, etc.)
 - The ratio of our Pre/Post deployments is on average 1.007, instrument has been very stable
- Regions of spectral overlap (Blue and Red spectrograph overlap for +90 nm) allowed system checks.

What has worked (3)

Site far enough away from casual recreational boating.

Success or failure?



Upper arm Twisted, window Cracked





Improvements we wish we had, and are working towards

- Simultaneous measurements of radiometric parameters.
- Reduced stray light in system.
- Simultaneous measurement of auxiliary parameters (compass, tilt, roll) at high frequency.
- Increased resistance to bio fouling.

From Constant Mazeran presentation at ESRIN

Because the MOBY site is relatively uncomplicated, our estimated uncertainties can account for a significant portion of the variability in the vicarious gain factors...





Werdell et al., 2006, Ocean Optics XVIII, http:// oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi/ obpgpubs.cgi

Take home: Improvements in the MOBY measurement can have a large effect on the vicarious calibration process.

MOBY (near) future

MOBY Refresh, NOAA support



Allows simultaneous acquisition of all radiometric channels



Reduced Environmental Noise with new instrument

Comparison of simultaneous measurements and sequential with test data set. Sequential measurement has %std around 0.04, while simultaneous has 0.01. Because of correlations, simultaneous much better. Reduced noise here reduces noise in g, reducing number of matchups required to obtain g within desired accuracy.



Instrument used was a prototype of the new optical system with simultaneous measurements at different depths.

Laser data for SLC characterization



We can do the stray light characterizations in Hawaii (rather than Sircus) with a tunable laser (Ekspla). Allows more frequent characterizations if necessary.







Totally different scales... X axis left +-250 pixels, right +-20 pixels (each pixel is around 0.3 nm)

Y axis left 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻², right 10⁻⁶ to 1

New system has much better stray light characteristics, so uncertainties due to stray light correction much reduced.

Bio fouling, very bad cases





Deployment M217 6/2/2001 – 9/25/2001

Deployment M225 11/12/2003 – 2/3/2004

• Add UV Led Bio fouling unit to radiometer heads. Illuminate window with 285 nm.

Will be difficult to stop these....



New NASA support for MOBY-Net (Netflix model)

- MOBY-like structure suitable for transporting in 40' shipping container.
- Modular optical system: designed to be installed/ removed from the hull and arm structure without changing calibration.
- Associated source/validation device for confirming calibration stability after shipment and installation (three scales, verify which has changed).
- Measurement down to 350 nm (PACE req.)

MOBY structure



Important features: 1) Carbon fiber main spar to reduce bending and allow easier deployment.

2) Integrated fiber channel on spar, arm bases permanently attached to spar.

3) removable arms for transportation (and replacement).

Instrument Systems CAS 140CT system to be used for monitoring stability source.

Yankee Environmental Systems SQM – 5002 source that we will be using as the stability source. It also has internal detectors (two filtered detectors, one unfiltered) to monitor the lamp rings and integrating cavity. (system originally designed for SIMBIOS)

Between the source (with its internal monitors), the external radiometer, and MOBY-Net we should be able to monitor MOBY stability pre/post deployment and after shipping and installation, 2% goal.







Scheme envisioned

- Selection of additional site with sufficient logistical/technical support for instrument assembly and deployment.
- Two MOBY hull structures on site. Allows more efficient use of ship time..one cruise to deploy and retrieve system.
- While the optics for one instrument is in field, the other is being sent back for calibration (with traveling source/monitor)...the Netflix part.
- Data forwarded to central location for common processing with MOBY/Hawaii.
- Central/common calibration and characterization site.
 Last two items are requirements of the INSITU-OCR White paper

Conclusions

- MOBY has provided vicarious calibration data for virtually all ocean color sensors (that can see it) since 1997.
- Now we have an 18 year time series that allows us to look for trending, improve our understanding of the uncertainties and other aspects of the data stream.
- Thanks to the dedicated group of people at Moss Landing, NIST, and NOAA this program has kept going with very high standards.
- Also, just as importantly, we need to thank the continued support of NASA and NOAA, and many dedicated people in these agencies for their support over the many years.